General relativistic tidal heating for Møller pseudotensor

Lau Loi So[1]

Abstract

Thorne elucidated that the relativistic tidal heating is the same as the Newtonian theory. Moreover, Thorne also claimed that the tidal heating is independent of how one localizes gravitational energy and is unambiguously given by a certain formula. Purdue and Favata calculated the tidal heating for different classical pseudotensors including Møller and obtained the results all matched with the Newtonian perspective. After re-examined this Møller pseudotensor, we find that there does not exist any tidal heating value. Thus we claim that the relativistic tidal heating is pseudotensor independent under the condition that if the peusdotensor is a Freud typed superpotential.

arXiv:1509.09201v1 [gr-qc] 30 Sep 2015

1 Introduction

Tidal heating is a kind of physical phenomenon which means the net work done by an external tidal field on an isolated body. Our daily life experience is the tide on Earth. However, a more dramatical example is the Jupiter-Io system [1]. In 1998 Thorne [2] elucidated that the expected tidal heating rate is the same both in relativistic and Newtonian gravity: $\dot{W} = -\frac{1}{2}\dot{I}_{ij}E^{ij}$, where W refers to the tidal work, the dot means differentiate w.r.t. time t, I_{ij} is the mass quadrupole moment of the isolated body and E_{ij} is the tidal field of the external universe. Note that both I_{ij} and E_{ij} are time dependent, symmetric and trace free. Moreover, Thorne also claimed that this tidal heating is independent of how one localizes the gravitational energy and is unambiguously gives by a certain value. This was being proved by calculating $\dot{W}$ explicitly using various gravitational pseudotensors.

In 1999, Purdue [3] used the Landau-Lifshitz pseudotensor [4] to calculate the tidal heating and the result matched with the Newtonian perspective. Later in 2001, Favata [5] employed the same method to verify the Einstein, Bergmann-Thomson and Møller pseudotensors [6, 7, 8], who claimed that all of them give the same result as Purdue did. It seems have accomplished the verification that the tidal heating is pseudotensor independent. However, after re-examined the Møller pseudotensor, we find zero gravitational energy and vanishing tidal heating. It is known that the Møller pseudotensor is not a physical acceptable candidate for calculating the gravitational energy-momentum because it does not satisfy the Einstein field equation inside matter [9]. Here we claim that obtaining the Einstein equation through the Freud typed superpotential guarantees the expected tidal heating [10] but the converse is not true (see section 2.3). The present paper is trying to illustrate that the relativistic tidal heating can be pseudotensor independent under the condition that the pseudotensor is a Freud typed superpotential (i.e., see (3)).

2 Technical background

Here we use $\eta_{\mu\nu} = (-1, 1, 1, 1)$ as our spacetime signature [11] and we set the geometrical units $G = c = 1$, where G and c are the Newtonian constant and speed of light. The Greek letters denote the spacetime and Latin letters refer to spatial. In principle, the classical pseudotensor [9] can be obtained from a rearrangement of the

[1]s0242010@gmail.com

Einstein equation: $G_{\mu\nu} = \kappa T_{\mu\nu}$, where constant $\kappa = 8\pi G/c^4$ and $T_{\mu\nu}$ is the stress tensor. We define the gravitational energy-momentum pseudotensor in terms of a suitable superpotential $U_\alpha{}^{[\mu\nu]}$:

$$2\kappa\sqrt{-g}\, t_\alpha{}^\mu := \partial_\nu U_\alpha{}^{[\mu\nu]} - 2\sqrt{-g}\, G_\alpha{}^\mu. \tag{1}$$

The total energy-momentum density complex can be defined as

$$\mathcal{T}_\alpha{}^\mu := \sqrt{-g}(T_\alpha{}^\mu + t_\alpha{}^\mu) = (2\kappa)^{-1}\partial_\nu U_\alpha{}^{[\mu\nu]}. \tag{2}$$

Note that both inside matter and in empty spacetime satisfy the energy-momentum conservation law: $\partial_\mu T_\alpha{}^\mu = 0 = \partial_\mu t_\alpha{}^\mu$. For the condition of interior mass-energy density, it is known that all classical pseudotensors give the standard result $2G^\mu{}_\alpha$, but only Møller failed [9], i.e., $\partial_\nu U_\alpha{}^{\mu\nu} = R^\mu{}_\alpha$ in Riemann normal coordinates. This Møller pseudotensor is disqualified as a satisfactory description of energy-momentum, thus investigate the tidal heating becomes not important.

There are infinite numbers of pseudotensors, perhaps the Freud superpotential [6] ${}_F U_\alpha{}^{[\mu\nu]} := -\sqrt{-g}g^{\beta\sigma}\Gamma^\tau{}_{\beta\lambda}\delta^{\lambda\mu\nu}_{\tau\sigma\alpha}$ is a simpler expression to demonstrate the tidal work. Here we emphasize that pseudotensor not only require to satisfy the condition of the mass-energy density at interior [11], but also the ADM mass at spatial infinity [12]. We know only the Freud typed superpotential provides these two requirements simultaneously [13]. We simply demonstrate this argument by an arbitrary superpotential

$$U_\alpha{}^{[\mu\nu]} := \sqrt{-g}(k_1\delta^\rho_\alpha\Gamma^{\lambda\tau}{}_\lambda + k_2\delta^\tau_\alpha\Gamma^{\rho\lambda}{}_\lambda + k_3\Gamma^{\tau\rho}{}_\alpha)\delta^{\mu\nu}_{\rho\tau}. \tag{3}$$

In order to obtain the expected result inside matter and at spatial infinity, the only possibility for constants k_1, k_2 and k_3 are unity.

In vacuum, the quantity $t_0{}^0$ can be interpreted as the gravitational energy and $t_0{}^j$ is the energy flux. The tidal work can be computed as

$$2\kappa\dot{W} = -\int_V \sqrt{-g}\partial_t t_0{}^0 d^3x = \int_V \sqrt{-g}\partial_j t_0{}^j d^3x, \tag{4}$$

where we have used the energy-momentum conservation law $\partial_\mu t_0{}^\mu = 0$ at the last step. Moreover, using the Gauss's theorem, the last integral in (4) can be written as a surface integral $\oint_{\partial V}\sqrt{-g}\, t_0{}^j\, \hat{n}_j\, r^2\, d\Omega$. Note that $r \equiv \sqrt{\delta_{ab}x^a x^b}$ is the distance from the body in its local asymptotic rest frame and $\hat{n}_j \equiv x_j/r$ is the unit radial vector. We adapt the deDonder (harmonic) gauge for the tidal heating calculation: $0 = \partial_\beta(\sqrt{-g}g^{\alpha\beta}) = \sqrt{-g}\Gamma^{\alpha\beta}{}_\beta$. The metric tensor can be decomposed as

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu} + ..., \quad g^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu} - h^{\mu\nu} + ... \tag{5}$$

For our tidal heating calculation purpose, we only consider the accuracy [3]

$$\dot{W} = a_1\partial_t(I_{ij}E^{ij}) + a_2\dot{I}_{ij}E^{ij}, \tag{6}$$

where a_1, a_2 are constants. Here we remark that the coefficient a_1 indicates a specify choice for the energy localization and $\partial_t(I_{ij}E^{ij})$ is a reversible ambiguous tidal-quadrupole interaction process. Meanwhile it is expected $a_2 = -\frac{1}{2}$ and $-\frac{1}{2}\dot{I}_{ij}E^{ij}$ is the unambiguous irreversible tidal heating dissipation process which we are most interested in. The related physical expressions [3] are:

$$h_{00} = \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{3}{r^5}I_{ij}x^i x^j - E_{ij}x^i x^j,\ h_{0j} = -\frac{2}{r^3}\dot{I}_{ij}x^i - \frac{10}{21}\dot{E}_{ik}x^i x^k x_j + \frac{4}{21}\dot{E}_{ij}x^i r^2, \tag{7}$$

and $h_{ij} = \delta_{ij}h_{00}$. The value of the weighting factor [5] $\sqrt{-g} = 1 + h_{00} + ...$

3 Vanishing tidal heating for Møller pseudotensor

It is straightforward to double check the tidal heating for Einstein and Møller pseudotensors [5], we examine the difference between these two pseudotensors and we named it S. We demonstrate that Einstein pseudotensor satisfies the expected inside matter requirement, but both Møller and S pseudotensors do not.

3.1 Einstein pseudotensor

Here we recall the result of tidal heating for Einstein pseudotensor [6]. Using Freud superpotential ${}_F U_\alpha{}^{[\mu\nu]}$and we have the Einstein pseudotensor $\partial_\nu({}_F U_\alpha{}^{[\mu\nu]})$. Inside matter gives the desired value $2G^\mu{}_\alpha$ [9]. In vacuum

$${}_E t_\alpha{}^\mu = \delta^\mu_\alpha(\Gamma^{\beta\lambda}{}_\nu\Gamma^\nu{}_{\beta\lambda} - \Gamma^\pi{}_{\pi\nu}\Gamma^{\nu\lambda}{}_\lambda) + \Gamma^{\nu\beta}{}_\nu(\Gamma^{\mu\beta}{}_\alpha + \Gamma^{\beta\mu}{}_\alpha) + \Gamma^\pi{}_{\pi\alpha}(\Gamma^{\mu\lambda}{}_\lambda - \Gamma^{\lambda\mu}{}_\lambda) - 2\Gamma^{\beta\nu}{}_\alpha\Gamma^\mu{}_{\beta\nu}. \quad (8)$$

The corresponding gravitational energy density and energy flux are

$${}_E t_0{}^0 = -\frac{1}{2}\eta^{cd}h_{00,c}h_{00,d}, \quad {}_E t_0{}^j = \eta^{ja}h_{00,0}h_{00,a}. \quad (9)$$

The known tidal heating rate is $\dot{W} = \frac{3}{10}\partial_t(I_{ij}E^{ij}) - \frac{1}{2}\dot{I}_{ij}E^{ij}$.

3.2 Møller pseudotensor

Here we define the Møller superpotential as ${}_M U_\alpha{}^{[\mu\nu]} := \sqrt{-g}(\Gamma^{\nu\mu}{}_\alpha - \Gamma^{\mu\nu}{}_\alpha)$ [8] and the associated pseudotensor is

$$\begin{aligned} {}_M t_\alpha{}^\mu &= \Gamma^{\beta\nu}{}_\alpha(\Gamma_{\beta\nu}{}^\mu - \Gamma_{\nu\beta}{}^\mu) + (\Gamma^{\mu\beta}{}_\alpha - \Gamma^{\beta\mu}{}_\alpha)\Gamma_\beta{}^\nu{}_\nu + g^{\mu\rho}g^{\beta\nu}(g_{\alpha\beta,\rho\nu} - g_{\alpha\rho,\beta\nu}) \quad (10)\\ &= 2R^\mu{}_\alpha - (\Gamma^{\beta\nu}{}_\alpha + \Gamma^{\nu\beta}{}_\alpha)\Gamma_{\beta\nu}{}^\mu + (\Gamma^{\beta\mu}{}_\alpha + \Gamma^{\mu\beta}{}_\alpha)\Gamma_\beta{}^\nu{}_\nu + g^{\mu\rho}g^{\beta\nu}(g_{\beta\nu,\alpha\rho} - g_{\rho\beta,\alpha\nu}). \quad (11)\end{aligned}$$

The value for inside matter in Riemann normal coordinates is $R^\mu{}_\alpha$ [9]. In vacuum, using (11) and apply the deDonder gauge condition, the energy density is

$${}_M t_0{}^0 = 2h_{00,0}h_{00,0} + \eta^{cd}(2h_{0c}h_{00,0d} - h_{0c,0}h_{0d,0} + g_{0c,0d} - g_{cd,00}). \quad (12)$$

Generally we expect $t_0{}^0 \sim \eta^{cd}h_{00,c}h_{00,d}$ [3] which means it should not involved any time derivative, then we can immediately justify that both the gravitational energy and tidal heating vanish because the energy-momentum conservation $0 = \partial_0 t_0{}^0 + \partial_j t_0{}^j$. For the completeness, we check the energy flux from (10)

$$\begin{aligned} {}_M t_0{}^j &= \eta^{ja}(h_{00,0}h_{00,a} - 4h_{00}h_{00,0a} - g_{00,0a})\\ &\quad +\eta^{ja}\eta^{cd}(h_{0c}h_{00,ad} - h_{00,c}h_{0d,a} + g_{0c,ad} - g_{0a,cd}). \quad (13)\end{aligned}$$

The vanishing tidal heating can be manipulated as

$$0 = \int_V \sqrt{-g}\eta^{ja}\partial_j\left[(h_{00,0}h_{00,a} - 4h_{00}h_{00,0a} - g_{00,0a}) + \eta^{cd}(h_{0c}h_{00,ad} - h_{00,c}h_{0d,a})\right]d^3x. \quad (14)$$

Then we deduce the following identity using (14)

$$\frac{1}{2\kappa}\oint_{\partial V}\sqrt{-g}\eta^{ja}g_{00,0a}\hat{n}_j r^2 d\Omega = \frac{1}{10}\frac{d}{dt}(I_{ij}E^{ij}) - \frac{1}{2}\dot{I}_{ij}E^{ij}. \quad (15)$$

For the Møller pseudotensor, Favata obtained the desired tidal heating value but we get null. However, we do have the same result which is the vanishing energy density ${}_M t_0{}^0$. We observed that equation (74) in [5] contains time derivative:

$$-16\pi\,{}_M\tau_0{}^0 = \sqrt{-g}(-h_{,00} - h_{00}h_{,00} - h_{,0\beta}h^{0\beta} - 2h^{\alpha\sigma}h_{0\alpha,0\sigma} - h^{\alpha\sigma,0}h_{\alpha\sigma,0} + 2h^{\alpha\sigma}h_{\alpha\sigma,00}), \quad (16)$$

where $h = \eta^{\alpha\beta}h_{\alpha\beta}$. This result mentions that the integral $\int_V \sqrt{-g}\partial_0({}_M\tau_0{}^0)d^3x$ contains second time derivatives which implies zero tidal heating value base on the approximation limit (i.e., see (6)).

3.3 S pseudotensor

Here we verify that S does not satisfactory be an acceptable energy-momentum pseudotensor. Though S obtains the desired tidal heating value, it fails the expected inside matter result. We define the superpotential for S as follows

$$ {}_{S}U_{\alpha}{}^{[\mu\nu]} := \sqrt{-g}(\Gamma^{\beta\tau}{}_{\beta} - \Gamma^{\tau\beta}{}_{\beta})\delta^{\rho}_{\alpha}\delta^{\mu\nu}_{\rho\tau}. \tag{17} $$

In fact, one can rewrite ${}_{S}U_{\alpha}{}^{[\mu\nu]} = {}_{F}U_{\alpha}{}^{[\mu\nu]} - {}_{M}U_{\alpha}{}^{[\mu\nu]}$ and the pseudotensor S can be represented as ${}_{S}t_{\alpha}{}^{\mu} = {}_{E}t_{\alpha}{}^{\mu} - {}_{M}t_{\alpha}{}^{\mu}$. Comparing the results from the previous two subsections, the value for inside matter is $2G^{\mu}{}_{\alpha} - R^{\mu}{}_{\alpha}$ in Riemann normal coordinates. Moreover, the energy density and tidal heating of S pseudotensor are the same as the Einstein pseudotensor.

4 Conclusion

Thorne verified that tidal heating is independent of how one localizes the gravitational energy and the value is unambiguous. Purdue and Favata used different pseudotensors to demonstrate the tidal heating and all of them give the expected result. However, after re-examined the Møller pseudotensor, we find that it gives vanishing result which means tidal heating is pseudotensor dependent. We emphasize that a suitable gravitational energy-momentum pseudotensor requires fulfill the Freud typed superpoential and this requirement ensures the expected tidal heating. Conversely, the pseudotensor may lose the significance if only succeeded achieving the disired tidal heating but excluded inside matter value (e.g., pseudotensor S). Therefore collecting the qualified pseudotensor is essential and indeed the tidal heating becomes pseudotensor independent.

References

[1] Peale S J, Cassen P and Reynolds R T 1979 *Science* **203** 892

[2] Thorne K S 1998 *Phys. Rev. D* **58** 124031

[3] Purdue P 1999 *Phys. Rev. D* **60** 104054

[4] Landau L D and Lifshitz E M 1975 *The classical theory of fields* (Oxford: Pergamon)

[5] Favata M 2001 *Phys. Rev. D* **63** 064013

[6] Freud Ph 1939 *Ann. Math.* **40** 417

[7] Bergmann P G and Thomson R 1953 *Phys. Rev.* **89** 400

[8] Møller C 1958 *Ann. Phys.* NY**4** 347

[9] So L L, Nester J M and Chen H 2009 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **26** 085004

[10] So L L arXiv:1505.04589

[11] Misner C W, Thorne K S and Wheeler J A 1973 *Gravitation* (San Francisco, CA: Freeman)

[12] Arnowitt R, Deser S and Misner C W 1961 *Phys. Rev.* **122** 997

[13] So L L 2009 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **26** 185004